

OFFICIAL

6123329081

T-473 P.001/017 F-536

Merchant & Gould

An Intellectual Property Law Firm

3200 IDS Center
80 South Eighth Street
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402-2215
USA
Tel (612) 332-5300
Fax (612) 332-9081
www.merchant-gould.com

FAX 6123329081

MAY 28 2003

A Professional Corporation

Fax Transmission | May 27, 2003 GROUP 1700

TO: Commissioner for
Patents
Attn: Examiner U. RUDDOCK
Patent Examining Corps
Facsimile Center
Washington, D.C. 20231

FROM: Curtis B. Hamre

OUR REF: 594.349US01
TELEPHONE: (612) 332-5300

Total pages, including cover letter: 17

PTO FAX NUMBER 703.872.9311

If you do NOT receive all of the pages, please telephone us at (612) 332-5300, or fax us at (612) 332-9081.

Title of Document Transmitted: Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement

Applicant: KAWAGUCHI et al.
Serial No.: 09/522,489
Filed: 10 March 2000
Group Art Unit: 1771
Our Ref. No.: 594.349US01

Please charge Deposit Account No. 13-2725 in the amount of \$180.00 for Information Disclosure Statement fee (37 C.F.R. 1.17(p)). Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayment to Deposit Account No. 13-2725. Please consider this a PETITION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME for a sufficient number of months to enter these papers, if appropriate.

By: Curtis B. Hamre
Name: Curtis B. Hamre
Reg. No.: 29,165

I hereby certify that this paper is being transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on the date shown below.

Heidi Hanson

Signature

GEN033.DOC

27 May 2003

Date

THE PATENT OFFICE OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Address: 6 Xi Tu Cheng Lu, Haidian, Beijing

Post Code: 100088

Applicant:	TERAOKA SEISAKUSHO CO., LTD.	Date of Notification:
Attorney:	HE TENGYUN	
Application No.:	00104149.5	Date: 04 Month: 04 Year: 2003
Title of the Invention:	CATHODE-RAY TUBE IMPLOSION-PROOF ADHESIVE MESH TAPE AND USES THEREFOR	

Notification of the First Office Action

MAY 28 2003

1. The applicant requested examination as to substance and examination has been carried out on the above-identified patent application for invention under Article 35(1) of the Patent Law of the People's Republic of China(hereinafter referred to as "the Patent Law").
 The Chinese Patent Office has decided to examine the application on its own initiative under Article 35(2) of the Patent Law.

2. The applicant claimed priority/priorities based on the application(s):
 filed in JP on March 15, 1999, filed in _____ on _____,
 filed in _____ on _____, filed in _____ on _____,
 filed in _____ on _____, filed in _____ on _____,
 The applicant has provided the priority documents certified by the Patent Office where the priority application(s) was/were filed.
 The applicant has not provided the priority documents certified by the Patent Office where the priority application(s) was/were filed and therefore the priority claim(s) is/are deemed not to have been made under Article 30 of the Patent Law.
 The application is a PCT continuation.

3. The applicant submitted amendments to the application on _____ and on _____, wherein
 the amended _____ submitted on _____ and
 the amended _____ submitted on _____ are not acceptable,
 because said amendments do not comply with Article 33 of the Patent Law.
 Rule 51 of the Implementing Regulations of the Patent Law.
 The specific reasons why the amendments are not allowable are set forth in the text portion of this Notification.

4. Examination as to substance was directed to the initial application documents as filed.
 Examination as to substance was directed to the documents as specified below:
 pages _____ of the description, claims _____ and pages _____ of the drawings submitted on _____,
 pages _____ of the description, claims _____ and pages _____ of the drawings submitted on _____,
 pages _____ of the description, claims _____ and pages _____ of the drawings submitted on _____,
 the abstract submitted on _____, and the figure for the abstract submitted on _____.

5. This Notification is issued without search reports.
 This Notification is issued with consideration of the search results.
 Below is/are the reference document(s) cited in this Office Action(the reference number(s) will be used throughout the examination procedure):

No.	Number(s) or Title(s) of Reference(s)	Date of Publication (or the filing date of conflicting application)
1	USS478639A	Date: <u>26</u> Month: <u>12</u> Year: <u>1995</u>
2	CN1040567C	Date: <u>4</u> Month: <u>11</u> Year: <u>1998</u>
3		Date: <u> </u> Month: <u> </u> Year: <u> </u>
4	MAY 28 2003	Date: <u> </u> Month: <u> </u> Year: <u> </u>
5		Date: <u> </u> Month: <u> </u> Year: <u> </u>

6. Conclusions of the Action:

 On the Specification:

The subject matter contained in the application is not patentable under Article 5 of the Patent Law.
 The description does not comply with Article 26 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law.
 The draft of the description does not comply with Rule 18 of the Implementing Regulations.

 On the Claims:

Claim(s) is/are not patentable under Article 25 of the Patent Law.
 Claim(s) does/do not comply with the definition of inventions prescribed by Rule 2 paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations.
 Claim(s) does/do not possess the novelty as required by Article 22 paragraph 2 of the Patent Law.
 Claim(s) does/do not possess the inventiveness as required by Article 22 paragraph 3 of the Patent Law.
 Claim(s) does/do not possess the practical applicability as required by Article 22 paragraph 4 of the Patent Law.
 Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 26 paragraph 4 of the Patent Law.
 Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 31 paragraph 1 of the Patent Law.
 Claim(s) does/do not comply with the provisions of Rules 20-23 of the Implementing Regulations.
 Claim(s) does/do not comply with Article 9 of the Patent Law.
 Claim(s) does/do not comply with the provisions of Rule 12 paragraph 1 of the Implementing Regulations.

7. In view of the conclusions set forth above, the Examiner is of the opinion that:

The applicant should make amendments as directed in the text portion of the Notification.
 The applicant should expound in the response reasons why the application is patentable and make amendments to the application where there are deficiencies as pointed out in the text portion of the Notification, otherwise, the application will not be allowed.
 The application contains no allowable invention, and therefore, if the applicant fails to submit sufficient reasons to prove that the application does have merits, it will be rejected.

8. The followings should be taken into consideration by the applicant in making the response:

- (1) Under Article 37 of the Patent Law, the applicant should respond to the office action within 4 months counting from the date of receipt of the Notification. If, without any justified reason, the time limit is not met, the application shall be deemed to have been withdrawn.
- (2) Any amendments to the application should be in conformity with the provisions of Article 33 of the Patent Law. Substitution pages should be in duplicate and the format of the substitution should be in conformity with the relevant provision contained in "The Examination Guidelines".
- (3) The response to the Notification and/or revision of the application should be mailed to or handed over to the "Reception Division" of the Patent Office, and documents not mailed or handed over to the Reception Divisions have no legal effect.
- (4) Without an appointment, the applicant and/or his agent shall not interview with the Examiner in the Patent Office.

9. This Notification contains a text portion of 2 pages and the following attachments:

2 cited reference(s), totaling 24 pages.

Examination Dept. 9 Examiner: 9223

Seal of the Examination Department